

COMPANY LAW BOARD
NEW DELHI BENCH
NEW DELHI

MENTIONING

CP NO. 73/MB/2016

CA NO.

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR
CHAIRMAN

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 26.05.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: **Sushil Dhuchand Bothra**

Vs.

M/s. Arham Anmol Projects Pvt. Ltd. & Ors

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397, 398 the Companies Act 1956.

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	REPRESENTATION	SIGNATURE
-------	------	-------------	----------------	-----------

1.	BISU THAKKAR, ADV	ADV	PETITIONER	
----	-------------------	-----	------------	--

2.	NAVNEET DUGAR, ADV	ADV	Petitioner	
----	--------------------	-----	------------	--

3.	MR. ARUN KATHPAU	SR. ADV	Resp. 2	
----	------------------	---------	---------	--

4.	MR. KARAN DEEPA SINGH			
----	----------------------------------	--	--	--

5.	MR. VIKAS MEHTA			
----	-----------------	--	--	--

6.	MR. VARUN SINGH			
----	-----------------	--	--	--

	MR. RAHUL P. DAVE	ADV		
--	-------------------	-----	--	--

	MR. SUMIT CHOPRA	ADV		
--	------------------	-----	--	--

RESPONDENT No. 3

P-T-O.

ORDER

Petition mentioned.

I have heard ld. counsel for the parties at some length. There are allegations and counter-allegations. The Petitioner has shareholding to the extent of 50% and he is also a Director in Respondent No.1 company.

Mr. Arun Kathpalia, ld. counsel for Respondent No.2 after obtaining instructions states that status quo with regard to the immovable assets shall be maintained. In other words the transactions which have already taken place would not be covered by this order and if further transactions or sale/purchase is to take place then prior permission of this Board shall be taken.

Reply be filed within four weeks with a copy in advance to the counsel for the petitioner. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

List for further consideration on 02.08.2016 at 10.30 AM.


[CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR]
CHAIRMAN

Date: 26.05.2016
[ravi]